

From: Daryl
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/22/02 3:51pm
Subject: Re: U.S. v. Microsoft: Settlement Information

from what I read concerning the revised proposed Final Judgment, it looks alright to me. I do have a concern however.

The portion of a compliance officer sounds good. However, I am concerned about the compliance officer being appointed by Microsoft. I fear that Microsoft may abuse this by appointing somebody to this position who could be easily influenced by Microsoft. Thus determining that actions are compliant with the Final Judgment, even if such actions are questionable.

What I feel would be a good safeguard against this possibility, is that the Technical Committee would serve as a "supervisor" for the compliance officer. Meaning that the compliance officer would have to answer to the TC, and the TC making sure that the compliance officer's duties, and findings are unbiased in any way.

Thank you for allowing us, the public to have our input on the proposed Final Judgment against Microsoft. having this avenue to submit our input helps me appreciate the judicial process even more, especially when major companies are on trial.

Sincerely,

Daryl Courtney